

Applicant: Vesa Ahvenniemi et al.
Application No.: 10/533,333
Response to Office action dated Oct. 19, 2007
Response filed December 12, 2007

Remarks

Claims 11-21, and 25-40 remain pending in the application. In the Office action dated Oct. 19, 2007, claims 32-36 were objected to: claims 32 and 33 because of improper dependency, and claim 34-36 because claim 34 claims something between a single point. Claims 11-22, 25-30 were rejected as indefinite. Claims 11, 13, 14, 16-21, and 26-30 were rejected as obvious over Baldini (US 5,641,387) in view of Christansen (US 5,517,765) and Alheid (US 4,728,396) and claims 15 and 25 were rejected as obvious over the same references and further in view of Broom (US 6,630,057).

Claims 12, 22, and 32-36 were indicated as allowable

Claims 31 and 37 were allowed.

Claim 11 has been amended based on the description of the single dryer cylinder and draw point of allowed claim 31, and to remove the limitation to a carrier rope system, which has been added to claim 15 and to overcome the indefiniteness rejections.

Claim 12 has been rewritten in independent form and to overcome the indefiniteness rejections.

Claim 21 has been rewritten to incorporate the limitations of allowable claim 22 and to correct the indefiniteness identified by the examiner. Claim 22 has been canceled.

Claim 31, which was allowed, has been amended to remove the limitations to a plurality of measuring elements, and the removed limitations placed in new dependent claim 39. The amendment is based on the examiner's reasons for allowances, the limitations moved to dependent claim 39 are not required to distinguish over the art of record. The original PCT claim 1 indicates applicants invention extends to claims, *not* containing claim limitations directed to measuring elements.

The dependency of claims 32 and 33 as pointed out by the examiner have been corrected.

Claim 34 has been amended in response to the examiner's objection.

Claim 37 has been amended to place the limitation directed to the measuring elements in dependent claim 38.

Applicant: Vesa Ahvenniemi et al.
Application No.: 10/533,333
Response to Office action dated Oct. 19, 2007
Response filed December 12, 2007

New claim 40 has been added based on canceled claim 24 which was canceled in view of the expected 5/25 rule, which rule does not apply because the first office action in this case was received before November 1, 2007, and because the implementation of the new rules has been stayed.

Applicant believes that no new matter has been added by this amendment.

Applicant submits that the claims, as amended, are in condition for allowance.
Favorable action thereon is respectfully solicited.

Respectfully submitted,



Patrick J. G. Stiennon, Reg. No. 34934
Attorney for Applicant
Stiennon & Stiennon
P.O. Box 1667
Madison, Wisconsin 53701-1667
(608) 250-4870
Amdt3.res

December 12, 2007 (12:39pm)